

Fusion of mmWave radar data with image sensors for enhanced vision systems

Abhay Mangalore *

Software Engineering Manager, Arlo technologies INC, USA.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2025, 14(03), 286-289

Publication history: Received on 27 January 2025; revised on 05 March 2025; accepted on 07 March 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2025.14.3.0656>

Abstract

The integration of millimeter-wave (mmWave) radar with image sensors is gaining significant traction in applications requiring robust perception, including security cameras, automotive safety, and smart surveillance. While image sensors provide rich visual data, they suffer from limitations in poor lighting and occlusion scenarios. Conversely, mmWave radar excels in detecting motion, depth, and presence, irrespective of lighting conditions. This review explores methodologies for fusing mmWave radar data with video streams, discusses signal processing techniques, and presents comparative analyses of different fusion approaches. We also evaluate real-world implementations and benchmark datasets to highlight the efficacy of sensor fusion in improving detection accuracy, privacy preservation, and environmental adaptability.

Keywords: Mmwave Radar; Sensor Fusion; Image Sensors; Computer Vision; Deep Learning; Privacy-Preserving Surveillance; Autonomous Vehicles; Smart Cities

1. Introduction

Modern vision systems face challenges in adverse conditions, such as low-light environments, fog, and occlusions. To overcome these limitations, sensor fusion techniques combining mmWave radar with traditional image sensors have been investigated. This integration leverages the strengths of both modalities: mmWave radar provides reliable depth and motion information, while image sensors deliver high-resolution color imagery.

Recent studies, such as those by Major et al. (2020) and Palffy et al. (2022), demonstrate that radar-camera fusion improves detection accuracy in challenging environments, including automotive and security applications [1,2]. This paper provides an in-depth review of different fusion techniques, including data-level, feature-level, and decision-level fusion, and evaluates their performance based on benchmark datasets and experimental results.

2. Sensor Characteristics and Complementary Nature

There are various types of sensors that can be used to collect data. In this section we will consider the mmWave Radar sensor and Image sensors.

2.1. mmWave Radar

Millimeter-wave radar operates in the frequency range of 24 GHz to 300 GHz and offers robust depth perception, motion tracking, and material penetration capabilities.

- Resolution: Lower spatial resolution compared to cameras but excels in depth accuracy.
- Environmental Robustness: Works effectively in fog, rain, and darkness.

* Corresponding author: Abhay Mangalore

- Privacy Preservation: Does not capture facial details, making it suitable for GDPR-compliant surveillance applications [5].

2.2. Image Sensors

Image sensors, particularly RGB and infrared cameras, capture high-resolution spatial and texture details but struggle in adverse conditions.

- Resolution: High spatial resolution for object identification.
- Limitations: Sensitive to lighting conditions, occlusion, and weather effects.

2.3. Complementary Strengths

Table 1 Summarizes the key advantages and limitations of each sensor.

Feature	mmWave	Image Sensor
Resolution	Low	High
Depth Accuracy	High	Low
Performance in Low Light	Excellent	Poor
Occlusion Handling	Good	Poor
Privacy Preservation	High	Low

As demonstrated by Wang et al. (2021), combining these sensors enhances detection accuracy by over 20% in low-light scenarios, showcasing the effectiveness of fusion techniques [4].

3. Sensor Fusion Techniques

Once we have the data from the individual sensors, there are several ways on how the data can be integrated so that it can be used in an actual use case. Some of the ways are described below:

3.1. Data-Level Fusion

This method combines raw sensor outputs before processing. Since radar and image sensors operate at different frequencies, time synchronization and calibration techniques are necessary [3].

- Advantages: Provides raw, unfiltered data for higher flexibility in processing.
- Challenges: Requires extensive pre-processing for alignment and synchronization.

3.2. Feature-Level Fusion

In this method, extracted features from both sensors, such as depth from radar and texture from cameras, are fused using deep learning models [2].

- Advantages: Reduces computational complexity compared to data-level fusion.
- Challenges: Requires robust feature selection methods to avoid information loss.

3.3. Decision-Level Fusion

Each sensor independently classifies objects, and the final decision is made by combining individual sensor outputs using probabilistic models (e.g., Bayesian fusion, Dempster-Shafer theory) [1].

- Advantages: Simplifies computation and is robust against sensor failures.
- Challenges: Limited adaptability to dynamic environments.

4. Benchmarking and Experimental Results

Several studies have benchmarked the effectiveness of mmWave-camera fusion. We summarize key results from recent research in Table 2.

Table 2 Key results Research

Study	Application	Fusion Method	Accuracy Gain (%)	Dataset
Major et al. (2020) [1]	Pedestrian Detection	Feature-Level	15%	Oxford Radar RobotCar
Palfy et al. (2022) [2]	Security Surveillance	Decision-Level	12%	Custom Dataset
Wang et al. (2021) [4]	Autonomous Driving	Data-Level	20%	nuScenes

These results indicate that data-level fusion achieves the highest accuracy at the cost of computational complexity, while decision-level fusion provides a balance between accuracy and efficiency.

5. Real-World Applications

There are several example of real world application. Some of the most common ones are described below.

5.1. Security Cameras

- mmWave radar detects motion in occluded environments, while video analytics enhance object recognition.
- Privacy zones can be dynamically enforced using radar-based depth estimation [5].

5.2. Autonomous Vehicles

- Radar enhances depth estimation for pedestrian detection and obstacle avoidance.
- Combined sensors improve object tracking and reduce false positives [3].

5.3. Industrial and Smart Cities

- Fusion-based monitoring improves worker safety in hazardous environments.
- Smart streetlights adjust based on human presence detected via radar [4].

6. Challenges and Future Directions

As with any solution, there are several challenges.

6.1. Synchronization and Calibration

- Real-time alignment of radar and image sensor data remains a challenge [3].

6.2. Computational Complexity

- High processing requirements limit deployment on edge devices [4].

6.3. Privacy Considerations

- Fusion techniques should comply with regulations like GDPR by leveraging radar-based privacy zones [5].

Future research should focus on lightweight AI models, sensor calibration automation, and privacy-centric implementations.

7. Conclusion

The integration of mmWave radar with image sensors presents a promising solution for robust, all-weather perception systems. Various fusion techniques have been explored, with data-level fusion offering the highest accuracy and decision-level fusion balancing performance and efficiency. Real-world implementations in security, automotive, and industrial applications highlight the potential of this technology.

Further advancements in sensor synchronization, edge AI deployment, and privacy-preserving algorithms will drive wider adoption of these hybrid perception systems.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Major, B., Fontijne, D., Yin, X., et al. (2020). "Vehicle Detection with Automotive Radar Using Deep Learning on Range-Azimuth-Doppler Tensors." *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [2] Palffy, A., Dong, J., Danelljan, M., et al. (2022). "Multi-Sensor Object Detection: Fusing Camera and Radar with Transformer." *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*.
- [3] Caesar, H., Bankiti, V., Lang, A. H., et al. (2020). "nuScenes: A Multi-Modal Dataset for Autonomous Driving." *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*.
- [4] Wang, X., Wang, S., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). "Fusion of mmWave Radar and Camera Data for Object Detection in Autonomous Vehicles." *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*.
- [5] Zhang, J., Zhou, F., Ma, L., et al. (2023). "Privacy-Preserving Smart Surveillance Using Radar-Camera Fusion." *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*.